

Noise Exposure and Noise Induced Hearing Loss Among the Traffic Police in Dhaka Metropolitan City

Naha A¹, Akhtar N, Datta PG³, Rahman MH², Majumder RA⁴, Haque MH², Biswas AK², Mahmud MK⁵

¹Sheikh Fazilatunnessa Mujib Memorial KPJ Specialized Hospital & Nursing College, Gazipur, Bangladesh; ³Green Life Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ²Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁴Mugda General Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ⁵National Institute of Cancer Research & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

Background: Occupational noise is considered as a global problem with social and physiological impacts, causing noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL). High levels of occupational noise is a problem in all regions of the world. Road traffic produces high noise levels that can cause damage to the traffic police hearing. Hence, occupational hearing loss is a well-known outcome of noise exposure at work.

Objectives: The study aimed to measure the noise exposure level at different traffic points and determine the occurrence rate and severity of hearing loss among the traffic police of Dhaka Metropolitan City.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted during 2017-2019 in 28 selected traffic points of Dhaka Metropolitan City under four traffic zone (East, West, North, and South) and among 100 traffic police who were working there and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Noise exposure level was measured from all selected traffic points with a digital sound level meter. Data were collected by face to face interview with a pretested semi-structured questionnaire followed by an otoscopic examination, tuning fork tests, and a baseline audiogram. To see the association, Chi-square tests or Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) tests were performed.

Results: This study revealed that the average noise exposure level at East, West, North, and South zones are respectively 125.6 dB, 112.9 dB, 121.3 dB, and 119.4 dB. At every point, the noise exposure level was more than the acceptable limit set by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). In the audiometry report of 100 traffic police, 64% had sensorineural hearing loss. Of them 85% had mild, 9% had moderate and 6% with severe hearing loss. Notch in 4 kHz in an audiogram was present in the right ear of 46% of respondents and left ear of 52% respondents. It was observed that hearing loss was significantly associated with increasing age and job duration.

Conclusion: Traffic police of Dhaka Metropolitan City is in constant risk of noise induced hearing loss as the ambient noise of this city is very high. Regular assessment and hearing screening is recommended for all the traffic police who are exposed to noise.

Keywords: Noise exposure, Noise-induced hearing loss, Traffic police, Audiogram

Introduction

Every human activity is associated with some form of noise. When assessing its impact on social well-being, it is usually classified as occupational noise, which is environmental noise, including noise in the community, residential or domestic level like traffic, playgrounds, sports, music, or sound in the workplace.¹

Globally rapid urbanisation, industrialisation, and a developed transportation system are the essential reasons behind this increased noise levels.²

The World Health Organization (WHO) currently estimates disabling hearing impairment presents among 432 million adults in the world, two-thirds of these people from developing countries and half of deafness and hearing impairment is avoidable.³ According to the WHO, in adults, 16% of disabling hearing loss is due to occupational noise exposure.⁴ Excessive exposure to occupational noise results in well-recognised occupational hearing loss, and it is taken as a global problem.

Noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) is the most common cause of sensorineural hearing loss after presbycusis (age-related hearing loss).⁵ Repeated

*Correspondence: Abirvab Naha, ENT-Specialist, Sheikh Fazilatunnessa Mujib Memorial KPJ Specialized Hospital & Nursing College, Gazipur, Bangladesh; email: dr.abirvab@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0002-7034-1797

and sustained exposure to excessive sound level causes NIHL. It is a sensorineural type of hearing loss and usually bilateral, irreversible, and progressive.⁶ It is a preventable and predictable disease with an epidemiologically relevant prevalence in urban communities. The presence of a sensorineural hearing loss in the audiogram, mostly pronounced in the high-frequency region between 3,000 Hz to 6,000 Hz with the most significant hearing loss, is specifically around the 4,000-Hz area (i.e., 4,000 Hz dip) is identical.⁷

The Occupational Safety and Health Association (OSHA) sets 90 dBA as the time-weighted average (TWA) for an 8-hour workday exposure to noise.⁸ According to the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), this limit is 85 dBA.⁹

In the city, the average traffic sound is about 60-100 dB.¹⁰ When there is exposure to sound level approximately 85dB, initially, it causes temporary dullness of hearing (temporary threshold shift), which usually recovers within 24 hours of exposure. Still, if there is repeated sustained exposure, the threshold shift becomes permanent (permanent threshold shift) due to nerve fiber degeneration.^{11,12}

NIHL is one of the most common problems among the traffic police. Most of them are aware of the air pollution, but most of them remain unaware of the long-term ill effect of noise on their hearing ability because this is an insidious process and takes a long time to become overt.^{13,10,14} On humans, exposure to traffic noise has several acute and chronic effects.^{7,15} It may cause insomnia, annoyance, hearing loss, mental disorders, and adverse physiological and psychological impacts.¹³ It may also increase the activity of endocrine glands, affects heart rates, produce high blood pressure, and cause changes in blood composition.¹⁶

One study in Dhaka Metropolitan city was carried out among 100 traffic police officers from January 2003 to June 2004, where they measured the noise level at different traffic points of Dhaka city and the traffic police's hearing status. They found that 24% of the respondent having mild to moderate NIHL.¹⁷

Many studies have been conducted to observe the noise effect in industrial workers, but little attention has been paid to noise caused by automobiles and their impact on traffic police. With this background present study was carried out to evaluate traffic noise exposure levels of

traffic police personnel serving at busy points of Dhaka metropolitan city and to assess whether they suffer from NIHL.

Materials and Method

This study was designed as a cross-sectional study. It was conducted in the Department of Otolaryngology and Head-Neck surgery at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University for clinical assessment and 28 different traffic points of Dhaka Metropolitan City to measure sound level during March 2017 to July 2019. Traffic police of Dhaka metropolitan city who has worked for more than five years in the traffic division, aged 26 to 45. Traffic police presented with a systemic disease like hypertension, diabetes mellitus, collagen disease, history of viral disease, drug therapy which may cause SNHL, duration of service less than five years and previous history of otitis media and known causes of conductive or sensorineural hearing loss were excluded from this study. A total of twenty-eight different points were selected randomly, seven points from each traffic zone under Dhaka metropolitan City. First Measurement of environmental sound intensity level in twenty-eight selected traffic points were done by using a digital sound level meter (REED SD - Model SD-4023). Sound levels were measured three times a day once at every point i.e., from 0700 – 0900 hours in the morning, 1600 – 1800 hours in the evening, and 2000 – 2200 hours at night and 10 minutes per reading at each point. Measurements were taken at the location where traffic personnel was stationed, to determine the noise levels they are exposed to. Sound level measurement data from the SD-4023, was analysed using the environmental software CD version SW-U801-WIN provided by REED SD. Then exposure of average noise level or TWA was calculated from Lden, using the formula introduced by OSHA. Data were collected through face to face interviews of each respondent. After examining the ear, an otoscopic examination of the tympanic membrane and tuning fork test of each respondent was done. Finally, PTA and tympanometry tests were carried out by clinical audiometer (Grason Stadler 61) Calibrated with a frequency range of 125Hz to 8000Hz and sound intensity levels of between - 10dB to 120dB was used to test each ear of the subject separately. Audiometric testing of the subjects was conducted at least 8 hours after the last noise exposure to exclude a temporary threshold shift. Hearing losses were categorized according to the WHO guidelines based on the findings. The data were calculated in an excel spreadsheet then exported SPSS (22.0) for analysis. The statistical significance

was set to $p < 0.05$. A Chi-Square test was done to compare the categorical variables. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) test was performed to explore the relationship of the hearing threshold at 4 kHz with age and duration of noise exposure of traffic police in Dhaka metropolitan city.

Results

In this cross-sectional study, traffic police were selected from twenty-eight different points of four traffic zones of Dhaka metropolitan city after taking proper permission from Dhaka Metropolitan Police Headquarter, Bangladesh. Then the average noise

level of those twenty-eight traffic points was calculated by using a noise level calculator. A total sample of 100 traffic police from twenty-eight different traffic points of Dhaka city with a 100% response rate was taken for hearing assessment.

In the East Traffic zone, the highest sound level measured 129.6 dB at DIT road, Rampura, and lowest at Bangshaal that measured 43.7 dB. Noise exposure or TWA maximum at DIT Road Rampura, and it was 132.8 dB. The lowest TWA was found at the AGB colony; it was 107 dB (figure 1).




Figure 1: Bar chart showing highest and lowest sound level with TWA in seven points of East zone.




Figure 2: Bar chart showing highest and lowest sound level with TWA in seven points of West zone.

In the West Traffic zone, the highest sound level measured 129.3 dB at Tajmahal Road, Mohammadpur, and lowest 49.9 dB at Road 23, D-Block, Pallabi. Noise exposure or TWA highest at

Tajmahal Road, Mohammadpur, and it was 133.0dB. Lowest TWA found at Road 8, C-Block, Mirpur 1; it was 102.8 dB (figure 2).

Nikunja in the North traffic zone where both highest and lowest sound levels were recorded was 129.6 dB and 44.9 dB. The highest TWA was recorded 129.5 dB at ICDDRB, Mohakhali, and the lowest TWA at Road 101, Gulshan 1, which was 110.3 dB (figure 3).




Figure 3: Bar chart showing highest and lowest sound level with TWA in seven points of North zone.

From seven different points under the South traffic zone, we got the highest sound level of 129.5 dB at Road 5, Dhanmondi, and lowest 43.7 dB at R S Street, Lalbag. The highest and lowest TWA were found at the Bata signal, Elephant Road, and Eskaton respectively 132.0 dB and 108.7 dB (figure 4).




Figure 4: Bar chart showing highest and lowest sound level with TWA in seven points of South zone.




Figure 5: Bar chart showing highest and lowest sound level with TWA of four traffic zones.

After measuring sound levels at different traffic points of all four zones, we made an average sound level and TWA of them. Among four zones, we got the highest sound level 123.7 dB at East zone and lowest sound level 50.4 dB in the North zone. Regarding TWA, we found the highest 125.6 dB in the East zone. The lowest TWA was calculated 112.9 dB in the west zone. TWA of North and South zone was respectively 121.3 dB and 119.4 dB (figure 5).

In this study, the age distribution was noted with a maximum of 44.0% subjects from 41-45 years, followed by 24.0% in the age range of 36-40 years. The mean age was 38.9±5.2 years, and the range was from 28 to 45 years. Here, gender distribution was noted with 100.0% male, and duty time for all respondents was 8 hours/day.

Maximum 32% of respondents were present in both 16-20 years and 21-25 years of job duration group. 24.0% from 6-10 years group and the lowest 12.0% from 11-15 years group. The mean duration of the job was 16.9±6.5 years, and range was 5-25 years (table I).

Hearing assessment of all 100 traffic policeman was done, and results revealed that 64.0% had hearing impairment, and 36.0% had normal hearing.

Table I: Distribution of the respondents on the basis of job duration (n=100)

Duration of job (in years)	Frequency	Percentage (%)
6-10	24	24.0
11-15	12	12.0
16-20	32	32.0
21-25	32	32.0
Total	100	100.0
Mean±SD	16.96±6.54	
Range	(5 – 25) years	

Among those hearing-impaired traffic police highest 85.0% of respondents had a mild degree of hearing loss, 9.0% had a moderate degree, and 6.0% had a severe degree of hearing loss. We did not find any respondents with profound hearing loss.

The data represents that 81.2% of respondents got hearing impairment who have been working for 16-20 years. In the 21-25 years group, 75.0% got hearing loss, which is the second-highest figure. The lowest 33.3% hearing loss was found in 6-10 years group. 50.0% of respondents from the group 11-15 years has got some hearing loss. It also shows that there is an association of hearing loss with a job duration of respondents (table II).

Based on pure tone audiometry, when specific 4 KHz frequency was considered (>25db), 46.0% of respondents had hearing loss in the right ear, and 52.0% had hearing loss in the left ear, where 34.0% had a notch at 4 KHz in both ears.

Table II: Association between hearing loss and job duration of the respondents (n=100)

Duration of service (years)	n	Hearing status – No. (%)					p-value
		0-25 dB Normal	26-40 dB Mild HL	41-60 dB Moderate HL	61-80 dB Severe HL	>81 dB Profound HL	
6-10	24	16(66.7%)	6(25.0%)	2(8.3%)	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	8(33.3%)
11-15	12	6(50.0%)	4(33.3%)	0(0.0%)	2(16.7%)	0(0.0%)	6(50%)
16-20	32	6(18.8%)	22(68.8%)	2(6.3%)	2(6.3%)	0(0.0%)	26(81.2%)
21-25	32	8(25.0%)	22(68.8%)	2(6.3%)	0(0.0%)	0(0.0%)	24(75%)
Total	100	36(36.0%)	54(54.0%)	6(6.0%)	4(4.0%)	0(0.0%)	64(64%)

Scatter diagram showed the degree of hearing loss in audiogram at 4 kHz level increased with the increase in job duration (figure 6).

In this study highest TWA found 125.6 dB in East traffic zone, and 72% of respondents of that zone had hearing loss, which was also maximum in all zone. The lowest TWA was 112.9 dB, which was

in the West traffic zone, and 56.0% of respondents from that zone had hearing loss, which was also the lowest compared to other zones. The percentage of hearing loss from the North and South traffic zone was the same, and it was 64.0% where the TWA respectively 121.3 dB and 119.4 dB.




Figure 6: Scatter diagram showing the correlation of notch in audiogram at 4 kHz with job duration of respondents (years)

Discussion

Most of the people in this country are not aware of their occupational health and occupational safety. Noise is one of the important out products in most of the occupational sector, and workers are not concerned about this noise pollution due to lack of knowledge. Road traffic is a significant source of noise in the urban area of Bangladesh, and high exposure to the noise causes NIHL, which is sensorineural in type.

In east traffic zone among seven traffic points, the noise level ranging from 43.7 dB to 129.6 dB and TWA ranging from 107.0 dB to 132.8 dB. The highest sound level was measured at DIT road, Rampura 129.6 dB, and lowest at Bangshal 43.7 dB and TWA was highest 132.8 dB at DIT road, Rampura and lowest 107.0 dB at AGB colony, Motijheel, where the highest and lowest sound level was respectively 102.3 dB and 53.4 dB. A similar study was conducted in Dhaka city, where they observed the highest sound level at Motijheel 90 dB and lowest 84 dB.¹⁷

In west traffic zone sound level ranging from 129.3 dB to 49.9 dB where highest 129.3 dB at Tajmahal road, Mohammadpur and lowest 49.9 dB at Road-23, D-Block, Pallabi. Maximum TWA 133.0 dB at Tajmahal Road, Mohammadpur, and minimum TWA 102.8 dB at Road-8, C-Block, Mirpur, where the highest sound level was 99.1 dB, and lowest was recorded 51 dB. According to the previous similar study conducted in Dhaka, they found sound level highest 95 dB and lowest 90 dB at Mirpur 1.¹⁷

Among seven points from North traffic zone highest and lowest sound levels were found at Nikunja, which was 129.6 dB to 44.9 dB. The highest TWA was recorded 129.5 dB at ICDDRB,

Mohakhali, and the lowest TWA at Road 101, Gulshan 1, which was 110.3 dB. The average noise level was recorded 88.6 dB at the Gulshan area in a similar study, which was much lower than this study.¹⁷

In the south traffic zone, it was measured the highest sound level of 129.5 dB at Road 5, Dhanmondi, and lowest 43.7 dB at R S Street, Lalbag. The highest and lowest TWA were found respectively at the Bata signal, Elephant Road, and Eskaton that was 132.0 dB and 108.7 dB. In this zone, it was to be found a sound level ranging from 127.3 dB to 51.3 dB at New-market and 126.9 dB to 59.6 dB at Elephant road traffic point. In a similar study, they found a sound level 76 dB to 80 dB at New-market and 88 dB to 94 dB at Elephant road.¹⁷

At Kuala Lumpur city of Malaysia, the traffic noise level was between 75 dBA to 85 dBA. Occasionally, it was found 90 dBA and rarely 100 dBA.¹⁸ Another study conducted at Jalgaon, India, revealed noise level ranges at different points of the city from 79.9 dB to 95.4 dB, and TWA ranged from 102.0 dB to 124.4 dB.¹³

The present study showed a significant increase in noise level compared with the research done before. Increased vehicles, indiscriminate use of horns, massive mass movement, and lack of knowledge about traffic law may be the cause in our city. Similar noise was found in the study conducted at Jalgaon, India, but in Kuala Lumpur city noise level was lower than this study, which may be due to proper regulation of traffic law.^{13,18}

On average highest sound level, 123.7 dB at East zone, and the lowest sound level of 50.4 dB, was measured in the North zone. The highest TWA 125.6 dB was found in the east zone, and the lowest TWA was calculated 112.9 dB in the west zone. TWA of north and south zone was 121.3 dB and 119.4 dB, respectively. The permissible noise level 90 dBA for 8 hours working day exposure that had been set by OSHA.⁸ In this study, the noise level and noise exposure (TWA) were higher in every zone compared to the permissible noise level exposure stated by OSHA.

In this study, all respondents were male. The average age of the participant was 38.95±5.19 years. Highest 44.0% of subjects in the age range of 41-45 years, followed by 24.0% in the age range of 36-40 years. In the age range 31-35 years, we found 23.0% of respondents and 9.0% from 26-30 years of the age range. A similar study conducted in Jammu, India among 150 traffic police where 56.7% of the subjects were in the age group of

30 to 39 years, while minimum 80% of subjects were in the age group of >50 years, with a mean age of the study being 36.6 ± 6.6 years and a range from 25 to 56 years.¹⁹

Maximum 32.0% of respondents had job duration ranging from 16-20 and 21-25 years. The mean exposure duration was 16.9 ± 6.5 years. From the group of 16-20 years highest, 81.8% had hearing loss. 75.0% of respondents had a mild to moderate degree of hearing loss from the group of 21-25 years of noise exposure. In a similar study conducted in Dhaka city, traffic police with a 6-10 year exposure group got 45.8% hearing loss, which was the highest, and 29% had hearing loss from the 16-20 years exposure group. From the 11-15 years group, only 25.0% had hearing loss.¹⁷ Another study showed the highest 50.5% traffic police of the Royal Brunei Police Force had NIHL working for more than 15 years.²⁰ The percentage of respondents with hearing loss was much lower compared to this study, and this might explain that the road traffic noise in Dhaka city increased very rapidly with the increased number of vehicles and created more effect in the hearing of traffic police. On the other hand, it showed the impact of repeated chronic noise exposure to high noise levels for a long duration. So, it proved a relation of NIHL with the length of the job, which is directly proportional.

It was to be found that 64.0% sensorineural hearing loss in this study. Among which, 85.0% had a mild variety of hearing loss, 9.0% had a moderate type, and 6.0% had severe hearing loss. No one had a profound hearing loss. That was similar to the findings of other studies, where the prevalence of NIHL was found to be 24.0% in Dhaka city traffic police, 28.0% in French police officers, 66.4% in traffic police personnel in Kathmandu City, 81.2% in Pune traffic police in India, and 84.0% in traffic police in Jalgaon Urban Centre in India.^{13,17,21,22} Demographic variation and higher traffic noise pollution in India and Kathmandu than in France cause the prevalence. Also, as a developed country, the awareness and use of hearing protective devices with adequate noise conservation programs lower the prevalence rate. On the other hand, the increased number of vehicles, old vehicles, misuse of horns, and lack of knowledge about noise pollution rapidly expand the prevalence of NIHL in Dhaka.

NIHL is predominantly bilateral, but it often occurs in only one ear and mostly at the 4 KHz.¹⁰ In this study, 46.0% had hearing loss in specific 4 kHz

frequency in Right ear and 52.0% in the left ear. In a study prevalence of asymmetrical hearing loss in 4.7%, among 1461 patients with noise-induced hearing loss.²³

In NIHL, hearing loss is caused by long-term, continuous exposure to noise.²⁴ In this study, hearing loss in 4 kHz positively correlated with the increase in job duration ($r=+.223$, $I=0.026$). So, with the length of job long term, continuous exposure to noise occurred. That's why, in this study, hearing loss in 4 kHz positively correlated with the job duration.

In this study, noise exposure (TWA) was a maximum 125.6 dB in East traffic zone, where 72.0% of respondents had hearing loss, which was maximum among four zones. The lowest noise exposure (TWA) was found at west traffic zone 112.6, and 56.0% of respondents had hearing loss. So, here it was to be found high noise exposure causes more hearing loss.

In this study, noise level and noise exposure were higher than other studies as well as higher than the acceptable limits set by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). NIHL increased with an increase in a job duration, and it is similar to other studies. There was also a significant correlation of specific 4 kHz hearing loss in audiogram with a job duration is identical in NIHL. Association of tinnitus, sleep disturbance, and annoyance with NIHL also noticeable from this study, which are common effects of NIHL. Moreover, we found that hearing loss among the respondents was higher when the noise level and sound exposure were high.

Conclusion

In Dhaka Metropolitan City, the noise level and noise exposure rate were very high at every traffic point. There was a strong direct association between NIHL with the duration of their job. It's also producing an adverse effect on their physical and mental wellbeing. This study concludes that traffic police working in Dhaka Metropolitan city are at very high risk for NIHL.

Acknowledgement

Authors acknowledge the support and cooperation of the teachers of the Department of Otolaryngology & Head-Neck surgery, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University. Authors would also like to thank the Traffic Police Department of Dhaka Metropolitan City for their support and for being a part of this research.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU) and self.

Ethical approval: IRB of BSMMU, Dhaka

Submitted: 24th August, 2020

Final revision received: 15th November 2020

Accepted: 25th November 2020

Published: 1st December, 2020

References

- Concha-Barrientos M, Campbell-Lendrum D, Steenland K. Occupational Noise: Assessing the burden of disease from work-related hearing impairment at national and local levels. Environmental Burden of Disease Series: World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2004; No 9.
- Salehin S, Islam KMN, Alam MS, Hossain, MM. Industrial Noise Levels in Bangladesh: Is Worker Health at Risk?. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. 2014; 23: 1719-26.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Deafness and hearing loss, World Health Organization Factsheet. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2019; URL:www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/deafness-and-hearing-loss.
- Nelson DI, Nelson RY, Concha-Barrientos M, Fingerhut M. The global burden of occupational noise-induced hearing loss. American Journal of Industrial Medicine. 2005; 48: 448-58. DOI: 10.1002/ajim.20223.
- Taneja M. Noise-induced hearing loss. Indian Journal of Otology. 2014; 20: 151-4. DOI: 10.4103/0971-7749.146928.
- Rodrigues H, Filho F, Ferraz D, Neto A, Torres S, Metidieri M. Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL): literature review with a focus on occupational medicine. International Archives of Otorhinolaryngology. 2014; 17: 208-12. DOI: 10.7162/S1809-9772013000200015.
- Koh D, Jeyaratnam J. Occupational health in Singapore. International Archives of Occupational Environmental Health. 1998; 71: 295-301. DOI: 10.1007/s004200050284
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Laboratory safety noise. Occupational Safety and Health Administration Factsheet. United States Department of Labor, Washington, D.C., USA. 2009; URL:www.osha.gov/Publications/laboratory/OSHAfactsheet-laboratory-safety-noise.html.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and health (NIOSH). National Institute for Occupational Safety and health Factsheet. U.S Department of Health and Human Service, Washington, D.C., USA. 2018; URL:www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/noise/default.html.
- Shrestha I, Shrestha BL, Pokharel M, Amatya RCM, Karki DR. Prevalence of Noise Induced Hearing Loss among Traffic Police Personnel of Kathmandu Metropolitan City. Kathmandu University Medical Journal. 2011; 9: 274-78. DOI: 10.3126/kumj.v9i4.6343
- Dobie RA. Medical-legal evaluation of hearing loss. 3rd edition, San Diego, Singular. 2001; 393-95.
- Thorne PR, Ameratunga SN, Stewart J, Reid N, Williams W, Purdy SC, Dodd G, Wallaart J. Epidemiology of noise-induced hearing loss in New Zealand. Journal of the New Zealand Medical Association. 2008; 121: 33-44.
- Ingle ST, Pachpande BG, Wagh ND, Attarde SB. Noise exposure and hearing loss among the traffic policemen working at busy streets of Jalgaon urban centre. Transportation Research Journal. 2005; 10: 69-75. DOI: 10.1080/15568310701398831
- Khan ST, Karadkhedkar S, Khadkekar S. Noise Induced Hearing Loss in City Traffic Policeman. Research and Reviews Journal of Medical and Health Science. 2014; 3: 26-28.
- Uimonen S, Maki-Torkko E, Sorri M. Hearing and Occupation. International Journal Circumpolar Health. 1998; 57: 156-61.
- Suter AH. Noise sources and effects - a new look. Sound and Vibration. 1992; 26: 18-38.
- Sharif A, Taous A, Siddiquee, BH, Datta, PG. Prevalence of noise induced hearing loss among traffic police in Dhaka Metropolitan City. Mymensingh Medical Journal. 2009; 18: 24-28.
- Thomas N, Mariah AN, Fuad A, Kuljit S, Philip R. Noise Exposure and Noise Induced Hearing Loss Among Kuala Lumpur Traffic Point Duty Personnel. Medical Journal Malaysia. 2007; 62: 152-5.
- Sharma M, Dhar U, Kapoor M. A Study of Effect of Noise Exposure on the Hearing Level of Traffic Personnel. International Journal Oral Health Medical Research. 2015; 2: 19-22.
- Win KN, Balalla NB, Lwin MZ, Lai A. Noise-Induced Hearing Loss in the Police Force. Safe Health Work. 2015; 6: 134-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.shaw.2015.01.002

21. Lesage FX, Jovenin N, Deschamps F, Vincent S. Noise-induced hearing loss in French police officers. *Occupational Medicine*. 2009; 59: 483–486.
DOI: 10.1016/j.shaw.2015.01.002
22. Singh VK, Mehta AK. Prevalence of occupational noise induced hearing loss amongst traffic police personnel. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery*. 1999; 51: 23–26.
DOI: 10.1007/BF02997985
23. Chung DY, Willson GN, Gannon RP. Lateral differences in susceptibility to noise damage. *Audiology*. 1983; 22: 199–205.
24. Le TN, Straatman LV, Lea J, Westerberg B. Current insights in noise-induced hearing loss: a literature review of the underlying mechanism, pathophysiology, asymmetry, and management options. *Journal of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery*. 2017; 46: 1-15.
DOI: 10.1186/s40463-017-0219-x